

24 April 2024

Copyright © 2024 Gas Strategies Group Ltd. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. If you would like to distribute this content please contact the Editorial team at Gas Strategies.



Contents

Clause scrutiny: How significant was the JFTC ruling?

Publication date: 13 September 2017

Gas Strategies Group

10 Saint Bride Street London UK EC4A 4AD

ISSN: 0964-8496

T: +44(0) 20 7332 9900 W: www.gasstrategies.com Twitter @GasStrategies



Editorials

+44(0) 20 7332 9957 editor@gasstrategies.com

Subscriptions

+44(0) 20 7332 9976 subscriptions@gasstrategies.com



Clause scrutiny: How significant was the JFTC ruling?

At the end of June, the Japan Fair Trade Commission (JFTC), which enforces the country's antimonopoly legislation, published the results of its investigation into restrictive practices in long-term LNG sales contracts. It looked into the issue of contractual clauses which impose restrictions, or conditions, on the destination to which LNG cargoes can be delivered, and concluded that in certain circumstances, and particularly in FOB contracts, such contractual provisions were likely to contravene Japan's antimonopoly legislation.

This is an important decision. The large majority of Asian LNG contracts contain destination restrictions of one form or another, and the JFTC report attracted a lot of attention and press comment. But how much difference has it actually made, and what actions are buyers and sellers taking as a result of the JFTC report? Will it, as many press reports have suggested, speed up the development of more liquid traded markets?







+44 (0) 20 7332 9900 consult@gasstrategies.com



Alphatania Training

+44 (0) 20 7332 9910 training@gasstrategies.com



Information Services

+44 (0) 20 7332 9976 subscriptions@gasstrategies.com