

28 April 2024

Contents

'War on plastic' weakens central pillar of anticipated recovery in oil, gas demand
Publication date: 04 September 2020

Gas Strategies Group

10 Saint Bride Street
London UK
EC4A 4AD

ISSN: 0964-8496

T: +44(0) 20 7332 9900
W: www.gasstrategies.com
Twitter @GasStrategies

Editorials

+44(0) 20 7332 9957
editor@gasstrategies.com

Subscriptions

+44(0) 20 7332 9976
subscriptions@gasstrategies.com



'War on plastic' weakens central pillar of anticipated recovery in oil, gas demand

Get the inside line. Take a free trial of Gas Strategies Information Services:

- Full access to Gas Matters, Gas Matters Today & LNG Business Review
- Access to our fully searchable archives containing
- Daily, weekly and monthly newsletters bringing the latest news and features to your inbox
- Gas Strategies iOS app

Free trial code **GS20**

Complimentary access

[1]

The oil and gas industry is pinning its hopes for future demand growth on an expansion of plastic production capacity that is at high risk of being rendered redundant as governments seek regulatory solutions to emissions and ocean pollution problems. The 'war on plastic' and impact of Covid-19 may have caused demand for virgin plastic feedstock to peak in 2019, which would mean up to USD 400 billion in planned investment in petrochemicals capacity will be stranded by 2024, influential financial think tank Carbon Tracker warned in a report today.

The outlook for plastics is highly relevant to the natural gas industry, since the feedstock driving supply growth for plastics is natural gas liquids (NGLs), mainly ethane and propane. NGLs make up a large proportion of the global oil supply growth forecast to happen over 2020-40 – some 5 million barrels/d of the anticipated 7 million barrels/d supply growth, according to the BP Energy Outlook 2019.

This 5 million barrels/d of new NGL supply “almost perfectly matches the expected 5.8 mbpd of plastics demand growth expected by BP in the period to 2040,” Carbon Tracker said in its report, entitled *The Future's Not in Plastics: Why plastics demand won't rescue the oil sector*.

“The reason that this matters is that plastics demand growth, even if it should materialise, will drive NGL demand not oil demand. The largest component of oil demand growth is not therefore in fact for oil at all.”

Overcapacity in the upstream petrochemicals sector is already growing, with total capacity in 2019 for ethylene and propylene production at 311 mt versus demand of 272 mt, implying overcapacity of 39 mt. Total capacity for these two key intermediary chemicals is planned to increase by around 80 mt out to

2024.

At an estimated capital cost of USD 5,000 per tonne, the petrochemical industry had already invested around USD 200 billion in upstream plastic production capacity that was greater than demand in 2019, the report states.

“The intention is to invest a further [USD] \$400bn (80 mt times \$5,000 per tonne) in additional upstream plastics capacity in the next five years to 2024 [into] a market where demand has been damaged by COVID and which faces additional threats ... from technology and policy,” it states.

The implication of structural overcapacity is prolonged depressed prices, with ethylene prices at the start of this year already at their lowest since 2003.

“This will lead to stranded assets as the companies at the top end of the cost curve have to close down. But it will also lead to much lower returns across the plastics complex as even low cost companies see a significant fall in profitability,” Carbon Tracker said.

This will mean that the “huge amounts” of new capacity constructed over the last few years will not earn the returns that were expected, and future investments carry high risks of falling short of promises too.

Short term gains, long term pains

In the immediate term, plastics lobbyists have been able to overturn plastic bag bans and to trumpet the benefits of personal protective equipment (PPE). Also, waste systems are in “disarray” and the low price of oil has meant that virgin plastic is cheaper than recycled plastic – all of which is supporting near-term demand.

However, in the longer term, Covid-19 “is likely to speed up change”. Sales of cars – which use much more plastic than PPE – have slumped. Taxing plastic is popular and raises useful revenues at a time of “fiscal stress”. And the pandemic has widened the “Overton window of opportunity” for political change.

The think tank sees the “plastic problem” resembling the “fossil fuel electricity problem” 15 years ago.

Policymakers in 2005 were keen to decarbonise electricity, but solutions at the time were expensive and seemed unfeasibly difficult. Wind power cost more than USD 100/MWh, solar PV cost more than USD 400/MWh and grid operators argued that these sources could never supply more than 2% of the system.

“The situation looked hopeless until it was solved by a combination of regulatory pressure and technological innovation,” the report says. Today, offshore wind farms are being financed with tariffs below the wholesale power price, and Portugal last week completed a power auction that yielded the world’s lowest solar PV tariff of just USD 13.20/MWh.

The report recommended investors factor in continued low prices and weak demand for key petrochemical feedstocks for the foreseeable future, lower oil prices, higher levels of risk and plastic taxation, higher clean-up costs and “[s]ector restructuring as weaker players are unable to survive a more rigorous environment”. - SK



Consulting

+44 (0) 20 7332 9900
consult@gasstrategies.com



Alphatania Training

+44 (0) 20 7332 9910
training@gasstrategies.com



Information Services

+44 (0) 20 7332 9976
subscriptions@gasstrategies.com